IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.R.RAMAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 7TH NOVEMBER 2007 / 16TH KARTHIKA 1929

RP.No. 536 of 2007(R)

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN WPC.2463/2007 Dated 22/01/2007

PETITIONER/PETITIONER IN THE WP(C):

ANTONY ISSAC,
PUTHANANGADI HOUSE, KANJIRAPPALLY,KOTTAYAM DIST.

BY ADV. SRI.ARIKKAT VIJAYAN MENON
SRI.HARISANKAR V. MENON
SMT.MEERA V.MENON
SRI.MAHESH V.MENON

RESPONDENTS: RESPONDENTS IN THE WP(C):

1. SALES TAX OFFICER, PONKUNNAM.

2. DEPUTY COMMISSIONER OF COMMERCIAL TAXES (APPEALS), KOLLAM.

3. DEPUTY TAHSILDAR (R.R), TALUK OFFICE, KANJIRAPPALLY, KOTTAYAM DISTRICT.

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 07/11/2007,THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

P.R.RAMAN, J.

R.P.No.536 OF 2007 IN
W.P.(C).No.2463 OF 2007

Dated this the 7th day of November, 2007

O R D E R

This is a petition seeking review of the operative portion of the judgment in W.P.(C)No.2463/2007. The writ petition was filed in an appeal pending matter. This Court granted stay on condition to pay Rs.25,000/- and to furnish a self bond for the balance amount. The stay order was granted until disposal of the appeal. But by mistake it was stayed "for a period of three weeks". Obviously, the stay is granted until disposal of the appeal and the word "for a period of three weeks" is an error apparent on the face of the record. It is clarified that the stay granted by this Court is until disposal of the appeal. However, in case any amount has been recovered that will not be affected by this order.

Review Petition is allowed as above.

P.R.RAMAN,
Judge.

kcv.